\$0.00 Indep. Ciaims 0 \$84.00 \$0.00

Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable) BASIC FEE \$750.00

[Page 1 of 3]

TOTAL FILING FEE

P21LARGE/REV07

\$750.00

CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION (CPA) REQUEST TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity) (Only for Continuation or Divisional Applications Under 37 CFR 1.53(d))

7. 🔀 -	The Commissioner is hereby authorized to credit overpayments or charge the following fees to Deposit Account No. 06-1510							
	\boxtimes	fees required under 37 fees required under 37 fees required under 37	C.F.R. 1.17.					
8. 🗖	A check	in the amount of		is enclosed.				
9. 🛚	Also enc return p					RECEIVE FEB 1 2 200 chnology Cente	3	
							·	
	The prio	r application's correspo	ndence address w	rill carry over to this	CPA UNLESS a n	new correspondenc	e address	
	is provid	ed below:	Daniel H. Bliss Bliss McGlynn, P. 2075 West Big Be Troy, Michigan 4 (248) 649-6090	aver Road, Suite 600				

CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION (CPA) REQUEST TRANSMITTAL (Large Entity) (Only for Continuation or Divisional Applications Under 37 CFR 1.53(d))



NOTES

RECEIVED

FEB 1 2 2003

Submit an original, and a duplicate for fee processi schnology Center 2100

FILING QUALIFICATIONS: The prior application must be a nonprovisional application that is either: (1) complete as defined by 37 C.F.R. 1.51(b), or (2) the national stage of an international application in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371. A Notice will be placed on a patent issuing from a CPA, except for reissues and designs, to the effect that the patent issued on a CPA and is subject to the twenty-year patent term provisions of 35 USC 154(a)(2). Therefore, the prior application of a CPA may have been filed before, on or after June 8, 1995.

C-I-P NOT PERMITTED: A continuation-in-part application cannot be filed as a CPA under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(d), but must be filed under 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b).

EXPRESS ABANDONMENT OF PRIOR APPLICATION: The filing of this CPA is a request to expressly abandon the prior application as of the filing date of the request for a CPA. 37 C.F.R. 1.53(b) must be used to file a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part of an application that is not to be abandoned.

ACCESS TO PRIOR APPLICATION: The filing of this CPA will be construed to include a waiver of confidentiality by the Applicant under 35 U.S.C. 122 to the extent that any member of the public who is entitled under the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.14 to access to, copies of, or information concerning, the prior application may be given similar access to, copies of, or similar information concerning, the other application or application in the file jacket.

35 U.S.C. 120 STATEMENT: In a CPA, no reference to the prior application is needed in the first sentence of the specification and none should be submitted. If a sentence referencing the prior application is submitted, it will not be entered. A request for a CPA is the specific reference required by 35 U.S.C. 120 and to every application assigned the application number identified in such request, 37 C.F.R. 1.78(a).

Dated:	February 10, 2003	Signature
		Daniel H. Bliss
		Typed or printed name
		32,398 Registration Number (if applicable)
		-
		☐ Inventor(s)
		☐ Assignee of complete interest
cc:		★ Attorney or agent of record ★ Attorney or agent of record or agent or agent of record or agent of record or agent of record or agent or agent of record or agent or agent of record or agent of

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

2123 Art Unit: Examiner: H. Jones

Applicant(s): G. Strumolo et al.

Serial No.: 09/432,485

Filing Date: November 1, 1999

For: PAINT SPRAY PARTICLE TRAJECTORY ANALYSIS METHOD AND SYSTEM

RECEIVED

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

FEB 1 2 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Technology Center 2100

Dear Sir:

Prior to examination, please reconsider the claims in light of the subsequent arguments.

REMARKS

Claims 1 through 6 remain in the application.

Claims 1 through 6 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller et al. (SAE Paper No. 982291). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

SAE Paper No. 982291 to Miller et al. discloses transient CFD simulations of a bell sprayer. Two numerical models are required in order to analyze the effect of paint transfer efficiency under varying bell operation conditions. First, the shaping air from a bell sprayer is simulated using a new computational fluid dynamics simulation, PowerFlow, as described in section 2.1. The numerical simulation is a single species, single-phase model and subsequently, paint spray dynamics and interaction with the shaping air must be modeled using a separate simulation. Section 2.2. describes SpraySIM which uses the flowfields from the CFD tool and